

# Operating New Public Transport Concepts Urban and rural experiences

UWE/Bristol Civic Society 15<sup>th</sup> May 2019

### Introduction

- •Stagecoach is the largest bus operator in the UK
- •A strong record of continuous innovation
- •Entrepreneurship is in our "corporate DNA"
- •Ongoing detailed consideration of options for new forms of personal mobility

### •My own role

- •at Stagecoach since 2013
- •A unique role addressing strategic challenges and opportunities posed by the development sector and the wider development agenda
- •Directly embedded in Operating Companies
- •Role covering most of Midlands and much of Western England
- •Joined from Northamptonshire County Council where I established the business case for, set up and managed a County-wide Rural DRT system



# Northamptonshire CountyConnect

•Rural "free-flowing" demand responsive service •Based on Lincolnshire CallConnect model

•Operated around 7 market towns acting as rural hubs from 2011-2016

•Availability aimed to have a bus at any point within a booking area within an hour of request (few rural bus routes run better than hourly)

•Bookable from an hour up to a week in advance •Assists regular travellers

•Low-floor fully accessible minibuses

•Deliberately sought slightly larger buses to flexibly accommodate peak loads

• "Resource rationed"

• "First-come first served" principle





### What worked?

•Comprehensive coverage of a vast rural area: politically every community had the same offer

•Over 300 villages

•Daily Monday-Saturday service including peak availability

•Many villages had not had a public transport service ever!

•Reduced council spending as resource was directed to demand

### •Customer service

Call centre was and is vital, especially for elderly, but also to help flex bookings
High standards of care from drivers

•Blurs edges with social services transport

•CountyConnect Plus "gate to gate service" for eligible vulnerable customers

•Social inclusion – a public service and not stigmatised.

•Tried and tested Booking Scheduling and Despatch system •Modified and uprated taxi industry technology!

# What was problematic?

### •People don't like booking

• "added friction"

•Many people trust a timetable more than a booking (taxis that do not appear!)

### •Regular demands (e.g. school and work movements)

•Even with carefully-defined areas, and one of two key destination hubs per bus, demands can clash easily especially when multiple destinations are required.

### •Size of some peak demands

•Home-school movements in particular

•Up to 22 people per "run" – 0930 on market days to Banbury or Market Harboro

#### •Managing some customer expectations

•Accommodating demands at popular times of day often leads to very long and complex routings

•Operating speeds: driving too fast for customer comfort!

# Ashford Town network: "Little and Often"

•Does what it says on the tin?

- •16 seats Mercedes Sprinter buses; low-floor
- •Scheduled services
  - •Mainly on pre-existing established routes
  - •Familiarity to customers
- •Up to every 4 minutes
  - Much improved evening and Sunday services (every 15 minutes)Finishing later at night
- •Three main routes
- •Three supplemental routes
  - •Running every 15-20 minutes
  - •Previously half-hourly

•Always seen as an experiment: February 2017 to October 2018





### What worked?

### •48% increase in bus usage over less than a year

#### •Whole new customer base opened up

- •More affluent families with children
- •Rail Commuters
- •Others!

#### •Customer Service

Large number of staff new to the company and the industry
Fresh approach and customer service training consistently delivered at the start

### •Exceptionally high levels of evening and Sundays growth

•Very high levels of impact

•Buses visible everywhere at all times of day and night

•Finishing later at night





# What was challenging/didn't work?

- •Mobilisation of large numbers of new vehicles and staff
- •Seating layout and capacity was insufficient especially at peak
- •Vehicle costs of ownership and maintenance is exceptionally high per seat (not anticipated)
  - *Higher rate of depreciationHigh specification*Three main routes
- •Highest frequency routes hard to operate without bunching
  - •Effective frequencies often every 10 minutes
- •Three supplemental routes performed much less well
  - •Demography (fewer existing customers);

•newer developments with low affordable hosing levels where car use already well-established

# **Big Issues for Demand-Responsive**

### •Peak demands very hard to accommodate

- •Vehicle size
- •Routing length and journey time
- •Vehicle availability

### •Customer expectations

- •Waiting time
- •Failed bookings ("no availability at time of departures")
- •Length of journey highly variable

### •Cost base

•Very high (fixed) unit costs; while real productivity of staff assets can be quite low over the operating day

•Not just a matter of eliminating any human intervention: autonomy may well not be the silver bullet

### Commerciality

•Relevance and attractiveness of service starts to decline as demands rise •And/or costs rise faster than revenues